

## UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COM

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

| `  | ALES O               | vvasinige |                    | KN                 |
|--|----------------------|-----------|--------------------|--------------------|
| APBLICATION NOTE FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR |           | A                  | TTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
| 0.97.517.57/61 0.27.247.00   | NAGAO                |           | 1                  | PM266298           |
| 000909<br>FILLSBURY WINTHROP LLP<br>1600 TYSONS BOULEVARD<br>MCLEAN VA 22102 | IM52/0914            | コ         | EXAMINER NGUYEN, K |                    |
|  |                      |           | ART UNIT           | PAPER NUMBER       |
|  |                      |           | 1774               | 6                  |
|  |                      |           | DATE MAILED:       | 09/14/01           |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

PTO-90C (Rev. 2/95)

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| . 1  |   |   |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summan   | 09/512,276  | NAGAO ET AL.  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |  |  |
| The MAN INC DATE of this communication on  | Kimberly T Nguyen   | 1774  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply   |   |   |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status | 136(a). In no event, however, by within the statutory minimun will expire SIX (te, cause the application to bec | may a reply be timely filed  n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on   | ·   |   |  |  |  |
| 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ T  | his action is non-final.  |   |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) 1-33 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |   |   |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.   |   |   |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.   |   |   |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |   |   |  |  |  |
| a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 1 🖂 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).   |   |   |  |  |  |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  | 5) 🔲 No   | erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)<br>tice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)<br>ner:   |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/512,276

Art Unit: 1774

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-16, drawn to a method of packing a glass base material, classified in class 53, subclass 266.1.
  - II. Claims 17-33, drawn to a packing, classified in class 428, subclass 36.9.

    The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by a materially different process such as forming a glass base material and wrapping it with a material to contain the material in a cylindrical shape.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to Paul Cocolus and John Osha on August 1, 2001 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Art Unit: 1774

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

## Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Kimberly Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-8176. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia H. Kelly, can be reached at (703) 308-0449. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-5408 for regular communications and (703) 305-3559 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Kimberly T. Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 1774

Cynthia H. Kelly SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700** 

jost of kelly